

# **NETWORK ADVISORY COUNCIL (NAC)**

**Tuesday, May 15, 2012**

**Montana State Library, Helena MT**

## **ATTENDEES:**

Council Members: Gale Bacon, Colet Bartow, Barry Brown, Beth Chestnut, Debra Kramer, Sarah McHugh, Elisa McLaughlin, Lee Miller, Kathy Mora, Doralyn Rossmann and Ann Rutherford. Brett Allen, Susan Gregory and Molly Kruckenberg were excused.

MSL Staff: Donci Bardash, Lauren McMullen, Jennie Stapp and Marlys Stark.

**Chairman McHugh called the meeting to order at 9:45 a.m.**

## **APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

**A motion was made by Member Rutherford and seconded by Member Mora to approve the minutes from the March 6, 2012 meeting as presented and the motion passed.**

## **STATE LIBRARIAN UPDATE:**

The LSTA five year evaluation was favorable in terms of how MSL is performing but staff felt the evaluation did not answer all the questions IMLS mentioned so it was sent to IMLS with an accompanying letter. IMLS will review the evaluation. The planning stage for the next five year plan is underway. IMLS is working on a new reporting system which should be helpful for the future.

Stacy Bruhn, Sarah McHugh and the consultants are working on making maps and housing/demographics data available to the public.

SLR LD staff is also using mapping to track services such as webinar attendees, consulting and other Library Development staff activities.

LSTA FY12 proposed budget was approved by the commission as recommended.

Colet Bartow, Jennie Stapp and Judy Hart attended National Library legislative Day in Washington this year. Reports from that meeting are that more gridlock is anticipated this year and that there are upcoming potential cyber security laws for libraries to be aware of. Most likely FY13 LSTA will be level funding only. Libraries need to pass on particular examples of what LSTA funds are used for rather than just asking for more money.

The Executive Planning Process (EPP) has three Base Map Service Center (BMSC) housekeeping items being carried by DOA with MSL supporting in order to finalize the move to MSL. Another piece was submitted as a tickler to 76-4035 which gives the local government authority over board budgets with the tickler giving an exception to libraries.

MSL also brought forward several funding proposals which are still possibilities but without dollar amounts attached. These include the half time early literacy position, the water information manager position, a resource sharing initiative which would cover fifty percent of library costs in the OCLC contract with inflationary costs allowed, one time only funding for the LISD digitization project and one time funding for assistance with the migration to digital books for Talking Book Library (TBL).

Donci Bardash reported that since the BTOP project is entering its last year, they are focusing on sustainability including data tracking.

#### **FY'12 LSTA STATEWIDE PROJECTS BUDGET:**

Montana Shared Catalog (MSC) and MontanaLibrary2Go consortia members both met May 4<sup>th</sup>. MontanaLibrary2Go will be electing an executive committee whose representation will be based on groups of libraries with levels of patron records. They are talking about extending genres availability to patrons based on holds.

During the MSC meetings, SirsiDynix representatives attended as that company was the highest scored vendor in the RFP process. They presented about a two hour demonstration of their product. Several questions about OCLC's WMS product came up following the presentation and after SirsiDynix representatives had departed. The WMS product has several items that will be available later but they don't quite have what is needed now. MSC voted to move ahead with the new contract with SirsiDynix with a seven year contract with the ability to get out of the contract every year.

OCLC is very interested in moving forward with testing out World Share's WMS with a group of Montana libraries. They would probably use libraries with different levels of sharing. Procurement is comfortable with MSL talking with OCLC about this interest.

**NAC does not need to approve the system chosen through the MSC RFP but will instead need to approve the budget which has already been presented with the scenario of adding new MSC libraries rather than moving to a new platform.**

#### **FY'12 BUDGET:**

There were some changes to the current presented budget compared to that which was previously reviewed. There were six OCR licenses which are transferred between users in the Montana Memory Project which were left out of the previous budget. CONTENT dm costs were higher than expected so the amount for half which was included has been adjusted. Group services charges were down a bit so the remaining amount at this time will be \$12,000.

This budget allows for \$30,000 for gap coverage. The actual gap amount, if any, will be determined in October. The Heritage Quest decision needs to be made today.

**A motion was made by Member Miller and seconded by Member Rutherford to accept the FY'12 scenario 1 budget as presented and the motion passed.**

**At 11:27 a.m. the meeting was adjourned and members began their annual retreat, which concluded at 4 p.m.**

DRAFT